

New Chum Community Reference Group

Meeting Agenda

Title: New Chum Community Reference Group

Date: Monday January 23, 2017

Time: 6.00 – 8.00 pm

Facilitator: Nathan Williams

Venue: Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich

Nathan Williams – Chair, New Chum CRG (NW)	Neil Perry – General Manager Queensland, Cleanaway (NP)	Jim Dodrill – President, IRATE(JD)
Michael Simmons – Acting Team Co-ordinator for the Central Area, Ipswich City Council (MS)	Doug Hughes – Regional Manager, Cleanaway (DH)	Geoff Yarham - Secretary of IRATE (GY)
Cr Kerry Silver (Ksi)	Cr Kylie Stoneman (KS)	Duchense Broad – Community Member (DB)
Meg Saunderson - Principal, Riverview State School (MSa)		
Apologies		
Greg Broad – Community Member (GB)	Scott Blanchard – Regional Manager, DEHP (SB)	

Item	Details	Action/Decision
1. Welcome & Apologies	Greg Broad, Scott Blanchard	N/A
2. Review of Minutes	<p>Review of minutes from the last CRG meeting on Tuesday, October 11, 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update on action Items - NW to update the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Add all updates to minutes and remove profiling heights from last minutes ○ Re-distribute to all CRG members this week ○ Members in attendance held a group discussion & confirmation that meetings are an accurate depiction of the meetings not a verbatim recording. ○ JD asked about the process of the minutes and NW clarified that the minutes are taken from a combination of live typing and a review of the audio recordings. Drafts are then sent to the group for confirmation and changes can be made to own statements for clarity. Any changes to other's comments are ALWAYS sent to the other party for confirmation before publishing. • NP requested that timing and approval should be within approx. one month • Parties should make a single response via email and confirmation of Minutes should ideally occur within one month. This was agreed upon by the members in attendance. 	Website feedback – DH to provide an update at next meeting
3. FY16 community benefit fund	<p>DH provided an update on proceedings regarding the FY16 fund. It was confirmed by DH that all bar one applicant from FY 16 has processed the funding. The Ipswich Historical fund is however still finalizing some details.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DH stated that the FY17 Fund meeting is occurring on Wed Jan 25 • DH explained that there are 13 approx applications - slightly down on applications, from last year. NP and DH explained that this is 3rd iteration however, and the applicants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DH to provide an update on the FY17 fund at the next meeting

	<p>have largely provided more “appropriate” applications, closer in line with the terms of reference.</p>	
<p>4. Operations update</p>	<p><u>Safety update: DH</u></p> <p>Two significant incidents have occurred since our last meeting in October of 2016:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The drowning of an adult male swimming in buffer area near Void 10 (further explained) 2. A Water cart vehicle – rolled over. The operator had a muscular back injury from the incident which occurred on November 10. He is currently on worker’s compensation but is expected to make a full recovery. Cleanaway has a hired water cart while he is on leave. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There have been five incidents of trespass – security has been ‘increased in accordance with this to address this issue • There has been one compliance issue – incorrect PPE for one customer on site. This was addressed instantly by Cleanaway staff. • There was some damage to the water cart as a consequence of the accident outlined above • There were two fire issues – one small fire on tip face and one in the outer area, both quickly managed, causing minimal disruption. • Employment update - no change to total staff numbers, but Cleanaway has hired two local Ipswich people to replace two departures from out of area staff. <p><u>Void 10 incident update: DH</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ DH offers condolences to family, seconded by NP and accepted by all. ○ NP: Security of the site near Void 10 is a challenge. NP asked CRG members to please advise the community of the dangers of swimming in these sites ○ DH: Cleanaway increased security 	

- JD commented that this site has long been an attraction, particularly for young people in the area. JD asked what are the plans besides increased security to keep people out?
 - DH: Cleanaway is assessing options and discussing such with Council
 - NP Cleanaway will be undertaking more consultation with the community in regards to hazards and dangers.
- MSa – I would love to communicate to students of the dangers of swimming here. DB also stated she would like to contribute to share this information.
- Msa it is generational; this has long been regarded as a recreation place in the area.
- KSt – can it look less inviting? Is there anything that can be done to reduce its appeal?
 - DH – not really as it is not all Cleanaway’s land, but we are looking at ways to educate locals and visitors about the inherent dangers.
- JD what about funding the development of a recreational area?
 - Group discusses pros and cons of such an area
- Ksi – There may be other options via sport and rec and Council, which we will explore.
- KS – Can you make the sign more graphically oriented? Make the warning more graphic?
- DH – we have reviewed some signage concepts and taken on board for future amendments
- JD – what about incorporating different languages? If a child was

	<p>to ignore the sign, what is there to stop them now?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ DH – three are graphic images and warning signs. There are also rock barriers and security on major entryways, ○ GY – is fencing being considered? ○ NP – yes that is an option.. ○ GY – Cr Tully wishes to make it a landfill. ○ NP – Well not exactly, I believe the point was, to fill the void. Realistically it is quite difficult to fill the void for Cleanaway. NP added that filling the void has significant engineering difficulties. ○ DH added that this (to use the space as landfill) was not a live option for Cleanaway, for a number of reasons. However, if for any reason that changes, Cleanaway will notify the community group. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Development application submission update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ DH: DA – in general all current submissions are approved ○ Front advertising signed approved ○ Other approvals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3 “out of hour” work requests ▪ 2 weekdays early morning starts approved ▪ One weekend (Sunday) not used ○ Cell 5a1 – updated and approved ○ DH: Approval of the road permit from Council and updated traffic management was approved to access clay from neighbouring property on Chum St and Whitworth Rd. ○ JD: There was no DEHP permit required for this work? ○ DH: No, there was not a permit required for this. 	
--	---	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GY shared a photo of excavator and bulldozer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ GY and DH agreed that the works were focused on removing over burden material from Cell 4 construction and moving to a temporary hold area • GY: How many water trucks are on site? • DH – Responded with there are two water trucks on site • GY: Reported being on site on the past Saturday and noting dust generated at the tipping face. • DH – explained that Cleanaway has a water cart onsite, dust monitoring on Chum St and a weather station at front end on property as part of the company’s dust management program. • GY responded when he was on site in Nov – he believes he also saw large amounts of dust generated at the tipping face on that occasion. • DH – ICC and DEHP were present on site at the time also and did not comment similarly to IRATE in reference to dust • GY: Dust along the eastern boundary is concern for IRATE as at the moment work is focused on the western boundary and GY believes dust is an issue to the east. GY asks what Cleanaway plans to do to manage dust along the eastern boundary? • NP: Cleanaway will put in the same procedures for Cell 4a as is currently in place and in compliance with our licence requirements. • GY – water trucks should be at tipping face on a consistent basis. JD supported this notion. • JD – Added we (IRATE) have also asked to see daily reporting • DH: Our dust levels are available on our website, however please contact Council or DEHP if you believe we are not in compliance with relevant legislation. • GY: We believe that there is a big issue with dust. Control the tipping face, and reduce exposure to dust for residents and workers. IRATE is also concerned 	
--	---	--

	<p>about asbestos materials in dust, GY states that he shared these concerns from Collingwood park communities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DH: I understand these concerns, however as you know Cleanaway is not the only industry on Chum St. We believe that Cleanaway is in compliance and managing dust levels effectively however if you see any issues please advise the relevant authorities. • GY: Are you manning the water truck for 12 hrs on site? • DH – We man the water trucks as required. 	
<p>5. Equipment Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DH stated that Cleanaway has had some New equipment arrival since our last meeting in October: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ D7 bulldozer ○ Large – 50 tonne compacter machine for waste compacter 	
<p>6. Complaints Update</p>	<p>Any complaints made in relation to the site after our last meeting on Tuesday October 11 2016 to today</p> <p>DH –Cleanaway has received one fine from ICC (Council) – this was due to an operational works approval having expired, DH stated this was a genuine error from Cleanaway, who were unaware it had expired.</p> <p>Cleanaway has since</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paid a fine to ICC • DH has advised that Cleanaway has put lining systems in place that are of a quality well above requirements. <p>DH noted other inspections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DEHP have been on site on to investigate a dust complaint. • ICC have conducted approx. 4 inspections • On-site inspection, Cleanaway, DEHP, ICC and IRATE on 29 Nov. 	

	<p>MS – It is worth noting Council had received a complaint from GY that day (via email). GY had alleged that trucks exiting the site were leaving a trail of dirt and rubbish on the external road. MS advised that Council was currently undertaking an investigation into this matter.</p>	
<p>7. Operations and Management information requests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current report on compliance with reprofiling approval <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ DH – comfortable to say profile is at present under height requirements ○ Information from surveyors showed full compliance ○ Final report through to Council in coming weeks • DH: Report on DEHP investigation on Cell 5a1: Cleanaway have responded to DEHP’s compliance letter. DEHP still assessing their determination. • MS: It is worth noting IRATE has sent in request to ICC who are looking into it today (at time of meeting). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ GY added trucks leaving site were leaving dust and waste etc. leaving the site. • GY asked question around outstanding items on infringement notice. What operational works number was it? MS took question on notice and agreed to email the application number to GY. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MS: To investigate and provide operational works number to GY.
<p>8. Next Meeting (s)</p>	<p>Planned dates for four meetings next year.</p> <p>Date of Next New Chum Community Reference Date to be determined – bimonthly, suggested date: tbd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jan • April - towards the end of April, maybe April 10? • July • Nov <p>Venue – Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich Time: 6:00pm – 8.00 pm</p>	

<p>9. Any other business</p>	<p>Ksi – Does Cleanaway have any plans for Open days?</p> <p>DH: We can talk about timing, but no we haven't finalized any plans.</p> <p>Ksi: Maybe plan for when construction is finished for wheel wash etc.</p> <p>NP: This seems like a good approach.</p> <p>NW: Called for any additional or further agenda items.</p> <p>Meeting closed at 7:12pm</p>	<p>DH and NP. To consider possible dates and ideas for open day</p>
-------------------------------------	--	---