New Chum Community Reference Group

Meeting Minutes

Title: New Chum Community Reference Group

Date: Tuesday October 11, 2016

Time: 6.00 – 8.00 pm

Facilitator: Nathan Williams

Venue: Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich

In Attendance

Nathan Williams – Chair, New Chum CRG (NW)	Neil Perry – General Manager Queensland, Cleanaway (NP)	Greg Broad – Community Member (GB)
Michael Simmons – Acting Team Co-ordinator for the Western Area, Ipswich City Council (MS)	Doug Hughes – Regional Manager, Cleanaway (DH)	Geoff Yarham - Secretary of IRATE (GY)
Cr Kerry Silver (Ksi)	Cr Kylie Stoneman (KS)	Duchense Broad – Community Member (DB)
Apologies		
Meg Saunderson - Principal, Riverview State School (MSa)	Jim Dodrill – President, IRATE(JD)	Scott Blanchard – Regional Manager, DEHP (SB)
Not in Attendance		
Brett Morrissey – IRATE (proxy for Jim	n Dodrill)	

Iter	n	Minutes	Action/Decision
1.	Welcome & Apologies	CRG members introduced themselves at the beginning of the meeting and apologies were confirmed from Jim Dodrill, Meg Saunderson and Scott Blanchard.	N/A
2.	Review of Minutes	 Review of minutes from the last CRG meeting on Monday June 27 2016 Update on Action Items Confirmation of Minutes 	NW to send updated final minutes from June meeting to CRG members.
		GY requested an updated copy of the final minutes from the June meeting.	NW to send
		NW advised that previous CRG member Paul Tutin had opted to stand down from the group. NP proposed a vote of thanks to Paul Tutin from Cleanaway and the CRG for his contribution. This motion was unanimously agreed with.	paperwork to GY's Hotmail address for EOI application
		The Chair and all CRG members recognise Paul Tutin's efforts on behalf of the CRG and wholeheartedly thank him for his contribution.	
		Geoff Yarham will be the formal representative from IRATE along with Jim Dodrill.	
		DH provided an update on three key action items	
		 Stormwater/sediment pond — DH confirmed that the pond is designed to overflow. Liner of new cell – can't be painted, as was indicated as a preferred option in the last meeting. Cleanaway is looking at hessian covering in order to improve visual amenity for Collingwood Park residents. 2015 environmental monitoring data has been placed on the Cleanaway website as discussed. 	
3.	FY16 community benefit fund	DH provided an update on proceedings regarding the FY16 Community Benefit Fund Cleanaway is yet to distribute one lot of funding – to the Ipswich Historical Association. The company may withdraw funding if no resolution can be met with the Historical Foundation and possibly rollover some of the funding to next year. KSi offered to contact the Ipswich Historical Association if DH had no luck. DH noted KSi's	•

contacts and said he may utilise that contact, if required in order to address the situation.	
DH asked should the company start funding application now or should they wait until the next term for FY17 Community Benefit Fund?	
GB responded that many groups are already aware and waiting for notifications to come out so whenever Cleanaway is ready groups will be prepared to complete applications.	
DH – indicated that Cleanaway will likely give approximately six weeks for potential applicants to complete the necessary paperwork. DH further stated that the launch of the FY 17 fund will likely be in the next few weeks	
DB – Maybe a shorter turnaround is good? People may just get it done!	
DH - Maybe we will aim to make decisions around Christmas and then advise successful applicants by the start of 2017.	
NP: I think the decision is to move the invite for applications to next week or the week after.	
DH confirmed this will be distributed to the panel and NP confirmed that the company would love the group to share this information amongst contacts.	
The group then discussed some potential further applicants and who may be interested. DH mentioned that proximity to the New Chum site was a consideration for applicants and the preference was to stay within close proximity.	
KS – The "fine iron guys" have had some challenges in regards to using their application.	
DH mentioned there had been no feedback to date from (Fine Iron) Car Club but happy to chat further, if useful. DH stated that to date, apart from the Historical Association all other successful applicants have been in touch and are working through their grant expenditure	
DB - If someone has applied for a grant can they apply again?	
DH - Yes they can but priorities will go to new applications.	

4.	Operations update	DH:	•
		 There has bas been a discernible reduction in incidents on site – and thanks the group for the diligence in reporting and commenting on potential issues. Safety update: There have been no real issues, six very minor incidents with equipment but no major damage, one third party driver with chest pains required an ambulance to be called, 3 fire incidents although not on property but nearby, two minor spot fires and Cleanaway also contacted DEHP as per license requirements. Employment update - five new people, all Ipswich ratepayers and locals, 3 operators, one site supervisor and one engineer. DB asked for the ratio of male to female, employees. DH 3:1, male to female in total. however, females are weighbridge operators, pure operational roles are however largely male. 	
5.	Equipment Update	 DH: New equipment arrival – there has been no new equipment on site, since our last meeting in June. DH: Cell 4 earthworks are complete, the company is laying new liners 	• N/A
6.	Complaints Update	 DH: There have been no registered complaints made in relation to the site after our last meeting on Monday June 22 2016 to today DH: There has been one inspection as per lpswich City Council and no development applications in the system since our last meeting. GY noted that there is a development application on PD Online for a New Development Application – minor alteration request to ICC. DH noted that this is correct but is a historical approval DH – front driveway application, is currently under enforcement notice in regards to buildings in particular. The company has gone out to tender on design – like to present possible amendment on design to change front end design to a cost appropriate option 	

	 DH outlines visual presence to the group and indicated a map. <i>Please note that as this is commercial in confidence at this stage this data cannot as yet be made publicly available.</i> Key elements of the design tender include: Building new offices New wheel-wash An extended run off to reduce dirt A redesigned front end A third weighbridge and another lane to reduce car blockage NP: This will be put to council as a development application. The company may ask for queuing back into the facility to take pressure off Chum St GY – This is a good idea, resolves issue with wheel-wash and bypass. 	
7. Operations and Management information requests	 Status/report on annual environmental report from Cleanaway. DH: Cleanaway has published its 2015 annual environmental report Key findings include: Some minor exceedances to zinc and PH levels. From a surface water point of view there a small number of issues in relation to conductivity towards 6-mile creek area. There were a few examples of excessive sediment towards buffer zone of property, likely due to natural run off No exceedance for landfill gas Dust monitoring –all exceedances bar one of these measures have been found on Chum St. Possibly due to an increase in traffic from neighbouring sites in Chum St. Discussion on a potential noncompliance with the re-profiling plan for the landfill. DH stated that he believed that this topic was not in the agenda. It was agreed that this topic was in the agenda, but under a different section. DH agreed, meeting proceeded. DH: Talks to commercial in confidence presentation (not publicly available) 	DH to send current approved plans to GY for further discussion

GY asked are we at 62/63 m at the top of cell 5?	
DH indicated that yes, this will be re-profiled when the road goes.	
GY: I have an issue, believes it is well over the profile.	
GY passed around visual of re-profiling compliance. Photo from top of chum street, shows new liner on the front of cell 1 and the valley between cell 1 and cell 5 (pointed on map).	
GY: The issue from IRATE's perspective – there appears to be a non-compliance.	
GY consulted the Cleanaway presentation and had DH agree that the cross section north to south in the Cleanaway presentation was at a consistent level of 58 metres.	
GY advised that at 58 meters the current profile does not appear to meet the approved reprofiling.	
Cleanaway disputed that they were not compliant with the approved reprofiling plan.	
DH and MS indicate that the map that GY is using is not current. As they are not approved plans as stamped by Council, MS indicated that the approved plans are not the same as the plans indicated by GY. DH agreed with the statement.	
DH said that he would be happy to send through the approved plans for GY if he requested.	
GY requested the approved plans from DH.	
• Asbestos, where is it to go in the future?	
GY: Once Cell 1 is finished where does asbestos go after that:	
DH: Cell2, Cell 5b and when we build Cell 4 asbestos will go in there	
DH discusses asbestos areas and registration	
KS: Do you keep coordinates of where asbestos is kept?	

	DH: Yes, Cleanaway maintain on site coordinates for future developments on GPS logs	
	MS Does DEHP License require extra fill for asbestos?	
	DH – DEHP requires the details for levels and adherence to safe management guidelines for compliance.	
	KSi: Does Cleanaway take asbestos waste from residents?	
	NP: We always recommend that it is best to use a contractor who is a licensed operator.	
	DH: Our facility is a no self-haul and self-tip at New Chum for any waste.	
8. Next Meeting (s)	Planned date for last 2016 meeting as well as planned dates for four meetings next year.	
	Date of Next New Chum Community Reference Date to be determined – bimonthly, suggested date: January 23, 2017.	
	Venue – Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich Time: 6:00pm – 8.00 pm	
	Timing:	
	 Jan April July Nov 	
9. Any other business	KS:	DH asked to provide feedback
	Requests to view the website and asks two key questions:	from the websites – number of views and dissemination of information
	• How does information get shared? Group discussed responsibility of individuals to share data and the role of the website.	or information
	 Can we consider a potential open day on site? 	
	KS also asked GY how many people are members of IRATE, GY responded with the	

statement that IRATE has approximately ten members.	
NP: Absolutely and I would like to stress that any member of the CRG can contact DH or myself should they wish to tour the facility.	
Meeting closed at 7:22pm.	